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## Entropy Changes for the Protonation of Alkenes

Sir:
We wish to report experimentally determined entropy and enthalpy changes for proton transfers to olefins, which clarify inconsistencies in the literature, and give the first experimental values for the absolute entropies of tertiary alkyl ions.

In recent studies of proton-transfer equilibria, ${ }^{1}$ reaction enthalpies were derived from measured values for the freeenergy change, $\Delta G^{\circ}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-R T \ln K_{\mathrm{eq}}=\Delta G^{\circ}=\Delta H^{\circ}-T \Delta S^{\circ} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

making the assumption that the entropy change for the reaction can be predicted from changes in rotational symmetry numbers between products and reactants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta S^{\circ}(\text { proton transfer }) \approx \Delta S_{\mathrm{Rot}} \\
& \approx R \ln \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{AH}^{+}} \sigma_{\mathrm{B}} / \sigma_{\mathrm{BH}+} \sigma_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \tag{II}
\end{align*}
$$

However, a comparison of data from an ICR study ${ }^{12}$ at 300 $K$ with that from a high pressure mass spectrometric study ${ }^{1 b}$ at 600 K reveals that there are reactant pairs for which "experimental" values of $\Delta S^{\circ}$ show inconsistencies with eq II. In particular, the entropy change predicted for the equilibrium

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}+ \\
\sigma=6 & \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3} \rightleftarrows\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+}+i-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}  \tag{1}\\
2
\end{array}
$$

was estimated to be $+3.56 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$ from eq II, assuming that the symmetry numbers are as shown above. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ However, on this basis, the value for $\Delta G^{\circ}$ measured at $600 \mathrm{~K}^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ should have been greater than the value determined at $300 \mathrm{~K}^{1 a}$ by 1.1 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (assuming, as usual, that $\Delta S^{\circ}$ is approximately temperature independent). In fact the values determined ${ }^{1 \mathrm{a}, 2}$ for $\Delta G^{\circ}$ at 300 and at $600 \mathrm{~K}^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ differ by only $0.1 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol over this 300 K temperature range.

A determination of the entropy change associated with reaction 1 was carried out in the NBS pulsed ICR spectrometer. ${ }^{3}$ Equilibrium constants were determined in binary gas mixtures (pressure $10^{-6}-10^{-5}$ Torr; observation time $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{~s}$; temperature $300-400 \mathrm{~K}$ ) in a pulsed ICR cell which can be ex-


Figure 1. Plots of $\ln K_{\text {eq }}$ vs. $1 / T$ for the equilibria: (1) (0); (2) ( $\Delta$ ); (3) (๑); (4) ( $\bullet$ ). Points labeled $T$ are from ref $\mathrm{la}, \mathrm{K}$ from ref Ib , and D from ref 2 a .
ternally heated. Under these conditions, there was no evidence of ion loss due to the occurrence of competing reactions. ${ }^{2 a}$ The resulting van't Hoff plot (Figure 1) indicates that, indeed, the entropy change for reaction 1 is $0.0 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$, rather than $+3.56 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$, assuming that $\Delta H_{\mathrm{Rn}}$ is constant over the temperature range of the experiment.

In order to interpret this result it is important to note evidence that the entropy change associated with the protonation of acetone is 0 . The value of $\Delta S^{\circ}$ determined (Figure 1) for the process

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+} & +\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3} \\
& \rightleftarrows\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

is $-0.45 \pm 0.20 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$. (This is in agreement with the value of $-0.7 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$ derived from values in the literature for $K_{\text {eq }}$ at $300^{1 a}$ and $600 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ ) As shown in Table I, this entropy change can be entirely attributed to the "intermolecular entropy change", ${ }^{4} \Delta S_{\text {Int }}=R \ln Z_{\mathrm{f}} / Z_{\mathrm{r}}$, where $Z_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $Z_{\mathrm{r}}$ are, respectively, the ion-molecule collision rates in the forward and reverse directions. ${ }^{5}$ (This term approximates the configuration integral.) That is, for these reactants, $\Delta S_{\text {Rot }}$ (eq II) must be 0 . The interpretation of this result is uncertain, but it implies either that the symmetry numbers for acetone and protonated acetone are the same (contrary to the assumption usually made), or that there is an additional contribution to $\Delta S^{\circ}$ which cancels the contribution due to changes in external symmetry numbers. The same conclusion is indicated by the results presented in reference 1 b , where an entropy change of $+0.16 \pm 0.4$ was determined for the equilibrium $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+}$ $+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}$. For this equilibrium the entropy change

Table I. Entropy and Enthalpy Changes Determined for Proton-Transfer Reactions

| Equilibrium | $\begin{gathered} \Delta H^{\circ}, \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{a} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | cal/(K mol) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exptl } \\ & \Delta S^{\circ}= \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta S_{\text {Rot }}{ }^{\text {b }}+\Delta S_{1 \mathrm{nt}}{ }^{\text {c }}+\Delta S_{\text {other }}{ }^{d}$ |  |  |
| $t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}++\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+}+i-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | $-1.47 \pm 0.20$ | $0.0 \pm 0.3$ | +2.19e | +1.14 | -3.3 |
| $t-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}++\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3} \leftrightharpoons\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+}+\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ | $-0.55 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.64 \pm 0.10$ | 0 | +0.77 | -1.4 |
| $\begin{gathered} t-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}+ \\ \left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+}+ \\ \end{gathered}$ | $-0.50 \pm 0.10$ | $-1.7 \pm 0.3$ | 0 | +0.09 | -1.8 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | $-1.20 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.45 \pm 0.02$ | $0{ }^{e}$ | -0.50 |  |

${ }^{a} 1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}=4.18 \mathrm{~kJ} .{ }^{b}$ Calculated from eq II. ${ }^{c} \Delta S_{\mathrm{int}}=R \ln Z_{\mathrm{f}} / Z_{\mathrm{r}} ;$ see discussion. The collision rates were calculated using the formulations of ref $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} .{ }^{d}$ Attributed to loss of internal rotation; see discussion. ${ }^{e}$ See discussion and note 6.

Table II. Relative Heats of Formation of Tertiary Alkyl lons

|  | $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ion | This work | Ref 9 a | Ref 9 b |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}^{+a}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{b}$ | $-6.8 \pm 0.2$ | $-7.6 \pm 0.2$ | $-8.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $-13.3 \pm 0.4$ | $-14.1 \pm 0.4$ | $-13.5 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{b}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ On the basis of the appearance potential of $t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{+}$from neopentane (B. Steiner, C. F. Giese, and M. G. Inghram, J. Chem. Phys., 34, 189 (1961)), a value of $168.2 \pm 0.55 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ can be derived for $\Delta H_{f}\left(t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{+}\right)$(taking a value of $34.8 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\Delta H_{f}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ (K. E. McCulloh and V. H. Dibeler, ibid, 64, 4445 (1976)). The value for $\Delta H_{f}\left(t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{+}\right)$is commonly based on the heat of formation and the ionization potential of the $t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ radical. Taking the revised value for $\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}\left(t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)(12 \pm 1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})(\mathrm{W}$. Tsang, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., in press) and the ionization potential reported earlier (F. P. Lossing and G. P. Semeluk, Can. J. Chem., 48, 955 (1970)) (159.8 $\pm 1$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, one obtains $\Delta H_{5}\left(t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{+}\right)=172 \pm 2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, rather than the unrevised number of $169.1 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol usually quoted. ${ }^{b}$ Error limits are essentially those ascribed to the heats of formation of the relevant neutral species.
$\left(\Delta S_{\text {Rot }}+\Delta S_{\text {Int }}\right)$ would be $-1.8 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$ if the symmetry numbers associated with acetone and protonated acetone were, respectively, 2 and 1.

Accepting that there is no $\Delta S_{\text {Rot }}$ associated with the protonation of acetone, a re-examination of the results for equilibrium 1 (Table I) reveals that since $\Delta S_{\text {Rot }}$ (eq II) is now be assumed to be $R \ln 6 / 2$ or $2.19 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol}),{ }^{6}$ and $\Delta S_{\text {Int }}$ is 1.14 $\mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$, the measured entropy change of 0 can only be accounted for if there is an additional unexplained component of the entropy change equal to $-3.3 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$. This entropy change can best be explained in terms of the loss of an internal rotation when a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond in $t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}+$ is replaced by a double bond in isobutene. ${ }^{7}$ An alternate view may be taken that the experimental result simply indicates that there is substantial rotational-vibrational coupling in the tert-butyl ion which invalidates the calculation of $\Delta S_{\text {Rot }}$ using such simplified concepts as symmetry numbers or losses of internal rotations. Taking a value of $70.17 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$ for the absolute entropy of isobutene, ${ }^{8}$ these results indicate the the absolute entropy of the tert-butyl ion must be $(70.17+1.14) \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$ or $71.3 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$ (again taking the entropy change associated with the protonation of acetone to be 0 ).

Figure 1 also shows van't Hoff plots determined for the equilibria

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3} \\
& \quad \rightleftarrows\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}+\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3} \\
& \quad \rightleftarrows\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The results are summarized in Table I. Since, in both cases, there should be no net change in external rotational symmetry numbers, it is only necessary to take into account $\Delta S_{\text {Int }}$ in order to calculate from the observed entropy changes the $\Delta S$ which may be associated with changes in internal rotation. These results lead to the conclusion that the loss of internal rotation in going from a $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ tertiary carbonium ion to the corresponding 2 olefin corresponds to an entropy change of -1.4 to $-1.8 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol}) .{ }^{9}$ Taking a value of $80.92 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$ for the absolute entropy of 2-methyl-2-butene, these results lead to a value of $82.3 \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})$ for the absolute entropy of the tert-pentyl ion.

From these results, relative heats of formation of the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}^{+},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, and $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ions were derived, and are compared in Table II with relative
heats of formation of these ions derived from equilibrium constants for hydride-transfer equilibria. ${ }^{10}$ The agreement is good within the error limits stated.
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## N -Cycloheptatrienylidenemethylamine Oxide: Synthesis, Electronic Spectra, and Cycloadditions of a Fulvenoid Dipole

## Sir:

The union of one terminus of a 1,3 dipole with both termini of a polyene gives a "fulvenoid dipole". Whether such a compound is stabilized or destabilized with respect to the fragments depends on the symmetries and relative energies of the $\pi$ orbitals of the isolated moieties, Using the symbol X for the 1,3-dipole fragment, ${ }^{1}$ the stabilities and reactivities of various fulvenoid dipoles can be predicted according to the simple model given in Figure 1.

Because of the polyene HOMO and LUMO symmetries, X will stabilize the diene if X is a good donor, while it will stabilize the triene only if X is a good acceptor. In such cases, strong HOMO-LUMO interactions between the fragments will stabilize the composite system and decrease its reactivity. However, union of a good donor, X , with the triene will lead not to stabilization, but to four-electron destabilization owing to closed-shell repulsion. Furthermore, the resulting increase in triene HOMO energy will lead to increased reactivity toward electrophiles. Union of a good acceptor X with a diene leads neither to stabilization nor to destabilization, but the diene

